

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re: :  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : Docket # 1:22-cr-00192-  
Plaintiff, : JSR-8  
- against - :  
RESTITUYO, MALVIN, : New York, New York  
Defendant. : March 31, 2022  
----- : ARRAIGNMENT  
: AND PRESENTMENT

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE  
THE HONORABLE SARAH L. CAVE,  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
BY: DOMINIC A. GENTILE, ESQ.  
ADAM HOBSON, ESQ.  
One St. Andrew's Plaza  
New York, New York 10007

For Defendant: FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK INC.  
BY: JONATHAN ANDREW MARVINNY, ESQ.  
52 Duane Street - 10th Floor  
New York, New York 10007

Interpreter Present

Transcription Service: Carole Ludwig, *Transcription Services*  
155 East Fourth Street #3C  
New York, New York 10009  
Phone: (212) 420-0771  
Email: [Transcription420@aol.com](mailto:Transcription420@aol.com)

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;  
Transcript produced by transcription service

INDEX

E X A M I N A T I O N S

<u>Witness</u>	<u>Direct</u>	<u>Cross</u>	<u>Re- Direct</u>	<u>Re- Cross</u>
----------------	---------------	--------------	-----------------------	----------------------

None

E X H I B I T S

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>Voir Dire</u>
---------------------------	--------------------	-----------	-----------	----------------------

None

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

PROCEEDINGS

3

THE CLERK: Your Honor, this is in the matter of  
United States versus Malvin Restituyo, 22-cr-192.

Counsel, please state your appearance for the  
record.

MR. DOMINIC A. GENTILE: Good afternoon, your  
Honor. Dominic Gentile and Adam Hobson for the United States.  
Seated at counsel table with us is Detective Jose Lisardo of  
the NYPD.

HONORABLE SARAH L. CAVE (THE COURT): Okay. Good  
afternoon again.

MR. JONATHAN A. MARVINNY: Good afternoon, your  
Honor. Federal Defenders of New York by Jonathan Marvinny.  
I'm appearing for my colleague, Clay Kaminsky.

THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon. Good  
afternoon, Mr. Restituyo. Are you able to understand me  
through the interpreter, sir?

MR. MALVIN RESTITUYO (through interpreter) (THE  
DEFENDANT): Too loud.

THE COURT: Too loud. Okay. Do you want to try  
the other set that's on the table there?

THE INTERPRETER: No, the other one isn't working.  
We just have to turn it down, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

All right, I'm Magistrate Judge Cave. Are you

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

PROCEEDINGS

4

able to understand me through the interpreter, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good.

May I have the date and time of arrest, please,  
Mr. Gentile?

MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, the defendant was  
arrested this morning at approximately five-fifteen a.m.

THE COURT: Thank you. Okay, Mr. Restituyo,  
you're here because you've been charged with certain crimes  
in an indictment. The purpose of today's proceeding is to  
advise you of certain rights that you have; inform you of  
the charges against you; consider whether counsel should be  
appointed for you; and decide under what conditions, if  
any, you'll be released pending trial.

You have the right to remain silent. You're not  
required to make any statements. Even if you've already  
made statements to the authorities, you do not need to make  
any further statements. Any statements you do make can be  
used against you.

You have the right to be released, either with or  
without conditions, pending trial, unless I find that there  
are no conditions that would reasonably assure your  
presence at future court appearances and the safety of the  
community.

## PROCEEDINGS

5

1  
2 If you're not a US citizen, you have the right to  
3 request that a government attorney or a law enforcement  
4 official notify an officer from your country that you've  
5 been arrested. In some cases, a treaty or other agreement  
6 may require the government to give that notice whether you  
7 request it or not.

8 You have the right to be represented by an  
9 attorney during all court proceedings, including this one,  
10 and during all questioning by the authorities. You have  
11 the right to hire your own attorney. If you cannot afford  
12 an attorney, I will appoint one today to represent you.

13 Do you understand the rights I've just described,  
14 Mr. Restituyo?

15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

16 THE COURT: Okay. I understand you wish for me to  
17 appoint counsel. I have before me a Financial Affidavit  
18 that you've signed under penalty of perjury. Please be  
19 aware you can be charged with perjury for any false  
20 statements in the affidavit. And you must tell the Court  
21 if there's any change in your financial status.

22 Based on the statements in your Financial  
23 Affidavit, I will approve the appointment of counsel. And  
24 Mr. Marvinny and his colleagues will represent you.

25 The grand jury of this district has returned an

PROCEEDINGS

6

indictment against you. The indictment charges in Count One that, from 2017 to the present, you and others knowingly conspired to engage in a pattern of racketeering in violation of, among other statutes, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d).

Count Eight of the indictment charges that, on or about June 23, 2019, you participated in the shooting of rival gang members in the vicinity of East 181st Street and Grand Concourse in violation of several New York State Penal Law sections and that that is a violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1959(a)(5), 1959(a)(3) and (2).

Count Nine of the indictment charges that, on or about June 23, 2019, in connection with the crime that I just described in Count Eight of the indictment, you knowingly used and carried a firearm and in furtherance of that crime did possess a firearm and aided and abetted the used carrying and possession of a firearm that was brandished and discharged, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c)(1)(A)(ii)(iii) and (2).

Mr. Gentile, did I get all the counts of the indictment that relate to Mr. Restituyo?

MR. GENTILE: Yes. Yes, your Honor, you did. Thank you.

Mr. Marvinny, do you have a copy of the indictment?

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

PROCEEDINGS

7

MR. MARVINNY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you reviewed it with  
Mr. Restituyo?

MR. MARVINNY: Yes, we have, your Honor. We waive  
its public reading.

THE COURT: Okay. And when you reviewed it, did  
you do so with the aid of an interpreter?

MR. MARVINNY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Is he prepared to enter a plea  
this morning?

MR. MARVINNY: Not guilty, please.

THE COURT: The Court will enter a plea of not  
guilty on your behalf, Mr. Restituyo.

All right, what is the government's position as to  
bail, detention or release?

MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, the government is  
prepared to argue for detention?

THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Marvinny, are you  
making an application?

MR. MARVINNY: That's right, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, let me just make some  
preliminary statements, Mr. Gentile, and I'll let you make  
your argument.

The government, Mr. Restituyo, is seeking

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## PROCEEDINGS

8

detention of you in this case. Am I right in informing Mr. Gentile, because it is the nature of the charges against him, so therefore, §3142(f)(1), is that --

MR. GENTILE: That's correct, your Honor. He's being charged --

THE COURT: And that there's a presumption that applies?

MR. GENTILE: Exactly, yes.

THE COURT: So I'm required under the law to release you, Mr. Restituyo, either with or without conditions imposed, unless I determine that there are no conditions that will reasonably assure your appearance in court as required and the safety of the community. In making a bail determination, I'm required to consider the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, the weight of the evidence against you, your history and characteristics, if you were on probation, parole or other release when you allegedly committed the crimes charged in the indictment and the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community posed by your release.

In this case, the law establishes a rebuttable presumption in favor of detention because of the crimes with which you've been charged, including a crime of violence. The presumption is, however, rebuttable, and the



PROCEEDINGS

9

government bears the burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that you're a danger to the community or establishing by a preponderance of the evidence that you're a flight risk.

Mr. Gentile, would you like to make your argument now?

MR. GENTILE: Thank you, Judge.

Your Honor, all of the defendants who are arrested today are being charged with certain racketeering acts, including this defendant. And all but one of the defendants is being charged with being part of a racketeering conspiracy, including this defendant. So what I'd like to do is just to provide the Court with a brief background of the enterprise to give you some context of the charges that the defendant faces.

So all of the defendants are either members or associates of a violent street gang that goes by the name of the Shooting Boys. The Shooting Boys gang is a criminal organization based in the University Heights section of the Bronx. And since at least 2017 or '18, gang members have used guns to commit numerous acts of violence against members of rival gangs throughout the Bronx. The Shooting Boys were originally attached to the larger Trinitarios gang, specifically, the Sunset chapter of the Trinitarios.

1 But they decided to break off and form their own gang.  
2 That was in or about 2018. And the defendant's co-  
3 defendant, Andrew Done, who has not been arrested today, is  
4 the recognized leader of that gang. After the Shooting  
5 Boys broke off from the Trinitarios, an ongoing battle  
6 between the two gangs ensued, and the end result was a  
7 series of violent acts and back-and-forth retaliation  
8 between the Shooting Boys and the Trinitarios. Eventually,  
9 the Shooting Boys began to retaliate against other gangs  
10 affiliated with the Trinitarios, and the acts of violence  
11 charged in the indictment arise from that ongoing battle.  
12

13 Their main source of income was from drug-dealing  
14 and robberies. And that's where this defendant comes into  
15 play. We don't allege that this defendant is a member of  
16 the gang, but we do allege and we're prepared to prove that  
17 he was an associate of the gang who worked closely with  
18 them in a number of criminal enterprises or criminal  
19 activities, including robberies, robberies of motorcycles,  
20 robberies of electric bikes, and including the shooting  
21 that forms the basis of Count Eight and Count Nine.

22 The government's prepared to argue for detention  
23 based on both danger to the community and risk of flight.  
24 And I'll start off with danger to the community first,  
25 Judge. The defendant's charged with participating in the

1 attempted murder of two individuals he believed were rival  
2 gang members. In the early-morning hours of June 23, 2019,  
3 the defendant, along with his co-defendants, Andrew Done --  
4 co-defendant Andrew Done and two other members of the gang  
5 with which he was associated, went hunting for rival gang  
6 members to shoot. The defendant and his accomplices were  
7 riding on motorized scooters or motorcycles, however you  
8 want to characterize it -- they were small motorcycles.  
9 They approached two other individuals on a motorcycle. And  
10 when they came upon the victims, the defendant's co-  
11 defendant, Andrew Done, shot both of them. Now, although  
12 the defendant wasn't the actual shooter in this case, the  
13 government's prepared to prove that it was the defendant  
14 who provided Andrew Done with the firearm that he used in  
15 the shooting and that the defendant knew that Andrew Done  
16 was going to use the gun to do a shooting.

18 The government's also prepared to prove at trial  
19 that the defendant has a long association with the Shooting  
20 Boys and has committed other crimes with them, as I  
21 mentioned before, robberies of motorcycles and e-bikes; and  
22 that he kept a firearm at his disposal in the basement  
23 where he and other members of the gang met and planned the  
24 June 23rd shooting.

25 With respect to risk of flight, Judge, the

Pretrial Services Report doesn't reflect his prior arrests because they're sealed, but the NYPD has records of three prior arrests for minor offenses, all marijuana related. However, in all three of those offenses the defendant failed to appear, causing the state court to issue bench warrants for him. In the instant case here, the defendant is facing a maximum penalty of life in prison and a mandatory minimum of ten years that must run consecutively to any other sentence that's imposed. The potential maximum mandatory minimum sentences provide an extremely strong incentive for the defendant to flee, and it's not a stretch to assume that the defendant who failed to appear for very minor offenses such as marijuana offenses would not fail to appear in this case.

And the weight of the evidence here is strong, Judge. We have testimony from multiple cooperating witnesses, security camera video and the defendant's own Mirandized statements to law enforcement back in 2019 admitting to being with his co-defendant Done and the other gang members when that shooting occurred and admitting to knowing that Andrew Done had a firearm on him and that he intended to use that firearm in shooting rival gang members.

I would point out to the Court, though, that this

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## PROCEEDINGS

13

was the second statement the defendant made to the police after initially denying having anything to do with this incident or having any knowledge that a firearm was used in the incident. The cooperating witnesses are prepared to testify about the defendant's extensive involvement in the gang and specifically his role with respect to robberies of motorcycles and electric bikes.

And for those reasons, your Honor, we do not believe that the defendant can overcome the presumption that there are no conditions that will assure his appearance in court or the safety of the community.

THE COURT: Can I ask you, Mr. Gentile, when you say that he was an associate but not a member, how are you drawing that distinction?

MR. GENTILE: That distinction is nebulous, to say the least. He was with the Shooting Boys quite frequently, almost as much as a regular member would be. But I think it has more to do with recognition. So when a gang member recognizes you as one of the other gang members, I think that is their informal way of adopting you as a gang member. Here he was not recognized as a gang member.

THE COURT: Okay. And with respect to the shooting incident that relates to Counts Eight and Nine, the government alleges that Mr. Restituyo supplied Mr. Done

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

PROCEEDINGS

14

with the firearm that was used in that shooting; and if so, what's the basis for that belief, to the extent you can tell me?

MR. GENTILE: That's the cooperating witness's statements to us.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GENTILE: And I would also point out one other thing, Judge, that I think is relevant to this argument is that the defendant was apprehended today in an apartment that law enforcement believed his co-defendant, Mr. Almonte, resided in. They actually went to that apartment to apprehend his co-defendant, not him.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GENTILE: So he is still associating with the gang members as recently as today.

THE COURT: Okay. Was there anything found in the course of that search?

MR. GENTILE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. No firearms or anything --

MR. GENTILE: As a result of today's --

THE COURT: When Mr. Restituyo was arrested, were there any guns or drug-trafficking evidence found around him?

MR. GENTILE: There was nothing, there was no

1 PROCEEDINGS 15

2 evidence found; but we didn't search the apartment. We  
3 didn't have a search warrant. All we executed was an  
4 arrest warrant.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Restituyo didn't have a gun  
6 or other weapon on him, though, when he was arrested,  
7 right?

8 MR. GENTILE: When he was arrested inside the  
9 residence, no, he did not.

10 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Gentile.

11 Mr. Marvinny?

12 MR. MARVINNY: Thank you, your Honor. Before I  
13 begin, can I just confirm which apartment Mr. Restituyo was  
14 arrested at today? Just the street would be sufficient.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, the residence at which  
17 he was arrested today is 459 East 167th Street,  
18 Apartment 2A, in the Bronx.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

20 MR. MARVINNY: Thank you.

21 Your Honor, I am asking the Court to release  
22 Mr. Restituyo on a package that is essentially identical to  
23 the package recommended by Pretrial Services in their  
24 recommendation that the Court release Mr. Restituyo. I say  
25 it's essentially identical, only in that Pretrial Services

1 suggests three financially responsible people cosign the  
2 bond; I'm asking the Court to impose two financially  
3 responsible people. I believe Mr. Restituyo's partner with  
4 whom he lives in the apartment where he apparently was  
5 arrested today and Mr. Restituyo's mother could be the  
6 cosigners.  
7

8           The package -- I know the Court can see it on page  
9 four of the report -- but the package also recommends home  
10 detention with location monitoring and a host of other  
11 restrictions that we believe are appropriate. So it's a  
12 bail package with teeth, it's got some real merit, and it's  
13 the package Pretrial is recommending.

14           So, your Honor, there's insufficient evidence, I  
15 contend, to find that Mr. Restituyo is such a risk of  
16 flight or such a danger that there are no conditions that  
17 the Court can impose. On the government's own telling,  
18 Mr. Restituyo is not a member of the gang; he's an  
19 associate. At most, he is accused certainly of  
20 racketeering and of a crime of violence and a serious one;  
21 but this is the 2019 shooting. The government admits that  
22 Mr. Restituyo was not the actual shooter, he was not  
23 involved in violence in any way on that day. They say that  
24 Mr. Restituyo was on a scooter next to the shooter while it  
25 happened. That's it.



They then allude to supposedly other robberies that Mr. Restituyo's committed. But that's it. There's no date on those robberies, there's no explanation of those robberies. I won't presume that the government is conceding that the government is conceding that Mr. Restituyo hasn't committed any crimes since 2019, but the crime he's charged with is old at this point. It's almost three full years old. Mr. Restituyo has not gone anywhere; he has remained in New York City.

And so, your Honor, the conduct is old. And Mr. Restituyo is not such a hardened criminal that he has any criminal history whatsoever. He has no record. He has maybe a few prior arrests that the government referred to, but those are sealed. I don't have the benefit of seeing those records to contest the allegation that Mr. Restituyo didn't come to court. I think it's a bit unfair to even consider sealed arrests at a bail argument like this, not only because the defense has no chance to look at the records or make arguments about them or contest them -- they're sealed for a reason -- but the salient fact is that Mr. Restituyo has not even a misdemeanor conviction on his record, much less a felony.

On the other side, he has deep ties to the New York City area. He is in this country legally; he's a

1  
2 lawful permanent resident, as is his partner, as are his  
3 parents. He has two-year-old child with his partner, who  
4 is a US citizen, born here. So he has deep family ties.  
5 Mr. Restituyo has been here in this country since  
6 approximately 2007 -- that's some 15 years -- again,  
7 without a criminal record; hasn't left the country since.  
8 He hasn't returned to the Dominican Republic, which is the  
9 place of his birth. He hasn't gone on a vacation; he  
10 hasn't left. So there's really no basis to conclude that  
11 he's a flight risk.

12           What the government has here really is the  
13 presumption and the nature of the offense and the charges.  
14 But that's really it. There's nothing else independently  
15 to suggest that Mr. Restituyo isn't going to come to court  
16 when he's supposed to or that he poses such a danger, you  
17 know, someone who there's not really an allegation of any  
18 crimes since 2019.

19           So, on those facts, as Pretrial Services  
20 recognized, there are some conditions the Court can set.  
21 And so I'll end where I began, which is that this package  
22 is home detention with electronic monitoring. It's about  
23 as serious a package as it gets. Mr. Restituyo can find  
24 two financially responsible people. He should be given the  
25 chance to show good faith and come back to court when he's

1 PROCEEDINGS 19

2 supposed to. There's no reason to think he won't.

3 THE COURT: He's not employed, correct?

4 MR. MARVINNY: He's not employed. He had been  
5 employed until four or five months ago, which is, by the  
6 way, when he moved residences in with his partner at the  
7 address that was just placed on the record. There were  
8 some extenuating circumstances around why Mr. Restituyo had  
9 to move. He had essentially lived at the same address with  
10 his parents for the first 14, 15 years he was in this  
11 country. I think he has a grand total of now two, at most  
12 three, addresses in the Bronx since he's moved here. At  
13 the same time he made that move in with his partner, he had  
14 to stop working for the same reasons, the same extenuating  
15 circumstances. But prior to that, he had very faithfully  
16 done deliveries, he was driving for Uber, he was delivering  
17 food for Uber Eats. He hasn't been able to maintain that  
18 position for the last several months, but up until that  
19 point he had a fairly regular work history.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Do you know how he supports  
21 himself?

22 MR. MARVINNY: Well, at this point, his partner  
23 helps him. He's got a little bit of money from when he was  
24 working. And as I indicated in the financial form,  
25 Mr. Restituyo occasionally indulges in a side-business

1 PROCEEDINGS 20

2 where he buys and sells cars after fixing them up. So he's  
3 earned a little bit of income in 2022 from that side-  
4 business. That's mainly how he's getting by.

5 But he also, your Honor, he doesn't pay rent, he  
6 doesn't pay for many expenses because he's living with his  
7 partner and her mother.

8 THE COURT: Right. So does he go anywhere during  
9 the day, largely?

10 MR. MARVINNY: Not much, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: He doesn't really need to.

12 MR. MARVINNY: I asked him those very questions.  
13 There's not much going on in his life right now. He can  
14 certainly abide by the home detention conditions.

15 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And do you know if  
16 his mother is employed?

17 MR. MARVINNY: His mother is employed. His mother  
18 is a home health attendant, your Honor. She is currently  
19 present in the country and could be a cosigner. His father  
20 also could be a cosigner. He is a cashier at a  
21 supermarket. He happens to be abroad right now.

22 THE COURT: Okay. Abroad permanently or just for  
23 a --

24 MR. MARVINNY: No, no, just on a one-month trip.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Gentile?

MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, I just want to point out that -- I know defense counsel's relying on Pretrial Services' recommendation. But the pretrial services didn't have the benefit of all the details I just provided the Court with respect to this defendant's role within the charged offenses.

I also want to point out that the additional evidence the government has -- and it's extensive -- but the additional evidence the government has with respect to the defendant's participation in this shooting is security camera video. We have security camera video of the defendant in the basement with his co-defendants, we have security camera video of him getting on the motorcycle, traveling in the direction of the shooting. We have security camera video of him on a bike on the Grand Concourse. We do not have video of the actual shooting, but we have video of those same individuals coming back to the location and putting the motorcycles back into the basement area, where the defendant controlled and where the defendant kept the firearm that he provided to Mr. Done to use in the shooting.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, the gun was kept where, in the basement?

1 PROCEEDINGS 22

2 MR. GENTILE: In the basement area where these  
3 individuals met to plan the shooting that the defendant had  
4 control over and allowed the gang members to use as a  
5 meeting place.

6 THE COURT: Is the basement that you're referring  
7 to, is that at this 167th Street address?

8 MR. GENTILE: 325 East 167th Street -- 76th  
9 Street -- 176th Street.

10 THE COURT: So not where he was arrested or where  
11 he resides?

12 MR. GENTILE: No, not where he was arrested or  
13 where he resides.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you,  
15 Mr. Gentile.

16 Just give me a moment.

17 Sorry, one other question, Mr. Gentile. In terms  
18 of communications, Mr. Restituyo's communications, does the  
19 government have evidence that, for example, recently he's  
20 been communicating or been on social media with other co-  
21 defendants or members of the Shooting Boys?

22 MR. GENTILE: So, aside from the obvious fact that  
23 he was living in the apartment of one of the Shooting Boys  
24 and living with the sister of one of the Shooting Boys, who  
25 herself is considered or recognized as a member of the

1 PROCEEDINGS 23

2 gang, I think that's pretty strong evidence that he's still  
3 at the very least associating with that gang.

4 THE COURT: Right.

5 MR. GENTILE: But with respect to his actual  
6 participation in gang activities, our evidence ends  
7 basically with when the cooperating witnesses came forward.

8 THE COURT: I see.

9 MR. GENTILE: So we do not have evidence of more  
10 recent conduct, only because the cooperating witnesses that  
11 we have are no longer in contact with the gang members.

12 THE COURT: I see. And when did those cooperating  
13 witnesses come forward, or about how long ago?

14 MR. GENTILE: It's more than a month. It's within  
15 a year.

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 MR. GENTILE: But I would also note, too,  
18 Judge -- and I want to bring this up because this will be part  
19 of our discovery -- the defendant was captured on jail calls  
20 with individuals who were incarcerated and other gang  
21 members, other Shooting Boyss, on jail calls, speaking  
22 about -- there was at least one jail call where he's speaking  
23 about drugs, even though we don't allege that he was part of  
24 the narcotics conspiracy. But he was speaking with other  
25 Shooting Boys on the jail calls, talking about things like

1  
2 drugs and getting people in the jail drugs.

3 THE COURT: Okay. Your colleague wants to tell you  
4 something.

5 And then I'll let you respond, Mr. Marvinny.

6 MR. MARVINNY: Thank you.

7 THE COURT: Go ahead.

8 MR. GENTILE: So my colleague wants me to point  
9 out, and rightfully so, is that the defendant's girlfriend  
10 is the sister of one of our gang members.

11 THE COURT: Okay. But she herself isn't charged?

12 MR. GENTILE: She is not being charged, not today.

13 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Marvinny.

14 MR. MARVINNY: Your Honor, I was going to point  
15 that fact out. The sister, Mr. Restituyo's partner, is the  
16 mother of his two-year-old child. And they're in a  
17 relationship.

18 You know, in some ways, the government's  
19 allegations get vaguer and vaguer the more I hear them. I  
20 mean, Mr. Restituyo is on some calls talking about drugs in  
21 some broad sense; he's not charged with drug trafficking.  
22 He's, you know, supposedly guilty for associating with his  
23 partner of two years, who is his life partner and the  
24 mother of his child. I mean, that's not really a basis to  
25 detain him. He's certainly allowed to be in a relationship



1 PROCEEDINGS 25

2 with someone who is not charged in this case and who is not  
3 alleged to be a gang member. And, of course, nor is  
4 Mr. Restituyo even alleged to be a gang member. He's an  
5 associate.

6 So that's why I say the allegations are getting,  
7 in some sense, vaguer and vaguer. The fact remains he  
8 hasn't been charged with conduct since 2019. I haven't  
9 heard Mr. Gentile in any of the times he's spoken accuse  
10 him of committing any crimes since 2019. There are  
11 certainly co-defendants who have been accused with more  
12 recent crimes in this indictment, so it's not simply  
13 because there's been no information presented against  
14 anyone since 2019. That's not the case.

15 So, again, your Honor, we think he should be  
16 released.

17 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right, just  
18 give me another minute.

19 Okay, Mr. Restituyo, I've heard the arguments of  
20 counsel here today, and I've considered the Pretrial Services  
21 Report. And I'm satisfied that there are conditions that I  
22 can impose that will in combination reasonably assure your  
23 appearance and the safety of other persons in the  
24 community. The conditions that I'm imposing are the least  
25 restrictive conditions that I believe will satisfy my

1 inquiry.

2           You must post a bond in the amount of \$75,000,  
3 cosigned by two financially responsible persons. Your  
4 travel is restricted to the Southern and Eastern Districts  
5 of New York. You must surrender any travel documents and  
6 make no new applications.  
7

8           Mr. Gentile, do you know if the agents took his  
9 passport when they arrested him or --

10           MR. GENTILE: They did not, Judge.

11           MR. MARVINNY: He's got one expired Dominican  
12 passport that's at his mother's house, which --

13           THE COURT: At his mother's house.

14           MR. MARVINNY: Yeah. He has no current -- any  
15 current passport, and he doesn't have a US passport. But  
16 he can turn in the Dominican one.

17           THE COURT: Okay. You'll be subject to Pretrial  
18 Services supervision as directed.

19           I'm going to place you initially on home  
20 incarceration enforced by location monitoring because I  
21 think that is, respectfully to defense counsel, I think  
22 home incarceration is more strict and therefore safer for  
23 both the community and less likely to result in the risk  
24 of -- or increase the risk of flight. Mr. Restituyo may  
25 not possess a firearm, destructive device or other weapon.

PROCEEDINGS

27

I will order that he will be detained until the bracelet is installed, one person has cosigned the bond, and we have the passport. Even though it's expired, I still think it's better if we have that. In addition, Mr. Restituyo will be subject to drug testing and treatment as directed by Pretrial Services. He must refrain from any contact with any known co-defendants, victims or witnesses, directly or indirectly, unless in the presence of counsel.

And I'm not including the employment conditions that Pretrial recommended because I am putting him on home incarceration. So basically, he's not leaving the house unless it's agreed with Pretrial Services basically to come to Court or to meet with counsel or for some agreed medical reason. So let me stop there.

Mr. Gentile, are there any other conditions you'd like me to consider?

MR. GENTILE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Pretrial?

PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Marvinny?

MR. MARVINNY: No, thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. So I'll ask you first, Mr. Restituyo, do you understand the conditions of your

1  
2 release that I just read to you?

3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

4 THE COURT: Okay. So let me just warn you,  
5 though, Mr. Restituyo, that if you fail to appear in court  
6 as required or if you violate any of the conditions of your  
7 release, a warrant will be issued for your arrest; you and  
8 anyone who signed the bond will each be responsible for  
9 paying the full amount of \$75,0000; and you may be charged  
10 with the separate crime of bail-jumping, which can mean  
11 additional jail time and/or a fine. In addition, if you  
12 commit a new offense while you're released, in addition to  
13 the sentence prescribed for that offense, you'll be  
14 sentenced to an additional term of imprisonment of not more  
15 than ten years if the offense is a felony, or not more than  
16 one year if the offense is a misdemeanor. That term of  
17 imprisonment will be executed after any other sentence is  
18 completed.

19 While you're awaiting trial I must also warn you  
20 not to have any contact with or engage in any intimidation  
21 of potential or designated witnesses or jurors, not to  
22 engage in any intimidation of any court officer, and not to  
23 engage in any conduct that would obstruct any investigation  
24 by law enforcement.

25 You do have the right to appeal my order.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

PROCEEDINGS

29

Do you understand all the warnings I've just given  
you, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, it's very important that  
you comply with the conditions that I have set for you,  
which are strict and will be difficult for you -- it's  
going to make your life difficult, but it is much better  
than being detained. But most importantly, very  
importantly, the conditions of complying -- staying on home  
incarceration, complying with the instructions of your  
Pretrial Services officer, not having any contact with the  
co-defendants in this case or any of the other Shooting  
Boys, and not possessing any guns or other weapons. Do you  
understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Gentile, anything further  
from the government today, then?

MR. GENTILE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Marvinny?

MR. MARVINNY: Nothing further. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very  
much --

MR. GENTILE: Oh, I'm sorry, your Honor.

THE COURT: Exclude time?

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

PROCEEDINGS

30

MR. GENTILE: Yes, the exclusion of time.

THE COURT: Okay. I will note at this time.

Thank you.

All right. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Restituyo.

We're adjourned, everyone.

(Whereupon, the matter is adjourned.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Carole Ludwig, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the case of USA v. Restituyo, Docket #22-cr-00192-JSR-8, was prepared using digital transcription software and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Signature Carole Ludwig

Carole Ludwig

Date: April 4, 2022